

Matthew Stevens, AirGon Support Services

GeoCue Group recently partnered with the National Park Service (NPS) in a pilot project to assess culturally significant areas within Gettysburg National Military Park using small unmanned aerial systems (sUAS). The area of interest (AOI) for this project was the western face of Little Round Top (Figure 1). Little Round Top was the location of a defensive stand by the Union army during the Civil War Battle of Gettysburg. On July 2, 1863, Confederate forces attempted to capture Little Round Top—the left flank of the Union line (Pfanz 1987). This fight included the famous bayonet charge led by the Union Army's 20<sup>th</sup> of Maine Volunteer Infantry Regiment, under the command of lieutenant colonel Joshua Chamberlain, who captured and repelled the advancing Confederate Army's 15<sup>th</sup> of Alabama (Desjardin 1995). The Confederate attack was ultimately unsuccessful. The Union Army would use the hill to counterattack and as an artillery battery position later in the Battle (Hall 2003).

The AOI for this project was prepared by the removal of large amounts of vegetation using a controlled burn. This allowed for a more thorough assessment than past surveys and increased the area visible to the sUAS camera sensor. The flights performed on site resulted in the creation of a geographically accurate orthomosaic and 3D point cloud, which allowed the NPS to more precisely quantify and catalogue earthworks and stonewalls dating back to the Civil War Era.



Figure 1 - Western Face of Little Round Top



The western face of Little Round Top comprises approximately 52 acres and is surrounded by asphalt pavement. The site includes earthworks, stonewalls and erected monuments. Moving from west to east, the site increases in elevation. The western side of the AOI has a small stream network and is marshy. The center of the site is very rocky with little vegetation present (due to the controlled burn). The eastern side of the site is rocky with some vegetation (mostly trees) unaffected by the controlled burn. The AOI is depicted in the map of Figure 2.



Figure 2 - NPS map of prescribed burn area

GeoCue Group, Inc. AirGon LLC www.GeoCue.com



The equipment and software used for data collection and processing are detailed below.

#### Equipment:

- Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) Real Time Kinematic (RTK) base station and rover
- SenseFly eBee RTK
- DJI Inspire 2
- Ground Control Point (GCP) targets fabricated by GeoCue

#### Software:

- Mission Planning Emotion 3 and DJI Ground Station Pro
- Data Processing PhotoScan (Point cloud, orthomosaic generation)
- Accuracy testing, data analysis and product generation GeoCue LP360



Figure 3 - Measuring Checkpoints

Figure 4 - Checkpoint Tile

Twenty-nine checkpoints were placed and measured inside the AOI to verify the accuracy of the drone collected data (Figure ). These checkpoints are 1-foot square tiles with a 4-block, black and white checkerboard pattern (Figure ). The locations of these points were collected using the GNSS rover.





Figure 3 - GNSS base station

The drone of choice for the bulk of the mission was the SenseFly eBee RTK (Figure ). A GNSS base station was connected to a laptop and synced with the eBee telemetry radio to have Real Time Kinematic (RTK) positioning during the flights (Figure ). RTK allows the drone to communicate with the base station constantly to correct the recorded camera position to within a few centimeters. A total of 4 flights were performed over the AOI to ensure maximum overlap (Figure 8). The flights were performed at an above ground level (AGL) altitude of 390 ft (approximately 120 m).

Because the eBee cannot fly below 120 m (the camera cycle rate is not fast enough to support the necessary overlap between images), the DJI Inspire 2 was chosen to collect greater detail for specified areas. Flown at 295 ft (90 m), images from the Inspire 2 provided improved resolution and contrast.



Figure 6 – eBee before flight



Figure 7 - eBee control station - laptop connected to GNSS base station

Case Study Little Round Top Revealed





Figure 4 - Amount of photo overlap





	.P360 Con	trol Points Report								-		×
Su	ace											?
Method: T		Triangulation (TIN) $\sim$		70-1-1-	7 Data Location		Source Points					
				Control 2	Cation							
					dX,Y	Calcula	te DZ					
Co	trol / Check	k Points						Selete Mert anne a	1	av ci		
(	uick Set:	~	Unique Name	Field: Name	~	Measure M	ode	roints that exceei Points that exceei	d limits of 90	5% CI	Precision: 3	}
	* N	▼ Descri ▼ T	Control	- Control	- Control	→ Measu	→ Measu	Surfac		→ Delta×		^
	29	FK	2112516.663	167705.581	587.892			No-Data				
	30	FK	2112474.188	167552.524	591.415	2112474.203	167552.650	591.397	Control	-0.016	-0.126	
Ø	31	FK	2112726.213	168656.381	516.878	2112726.253	168656.429	516.874	Control	-0.039	-0.047	
	32	FK	2112543.448	168389.932	519.789	2112543.511	168389.979	519.772	Control	-0.063	-0.047	
	33	FK	2112267.466	168240.480	510.175	2112267.450	168240.488	510.128	Control	0.016	-0.008	
	34	FK	2112215.880	168137.479	510.625	2112215.982	168137.518	510.602	Control	-0.102	-0.039	
M	35	FK	2112056.910	167913.247	508.187	2112057.004	167913.153	508.186	Control	-0.094	0.094	
	36	FK	2112488.238	168635.728	509.668	2112488.403	169635.775	509.649	Control	-0.165	-0.047	~
<		1.4	711 7 566 444	ILVIII ML	515/157	7117766 547	169111-269	EIG EAL	Lontrol	.0.002	30.002	>
c1-	intical Craw	0.301										
Ho	rizontal	mary							Vertical	-		
Image Layer:		yer: Raster Layer_1	Raster Layer_1		<ul> <li>Average Pixel Size:</li> </ul>		0.103			Mean Error:		000
$\rightarrow$			Y			Planimetric			Error M	lin, Max	[-0.109, 0.1	63]
1	lean Error:	-0.	.006 Mean Erro	or:	-0.040	Mean Error		0.126	Error R	ange:	0.2	272
E	rror Min, Ma	ах: [-0.189, 0.1	165] Error Min,	Max	[-0.228, 0.142]	Error Min, M	lax	[0.018, 0.229]	Skew:		0.2	250
Error Range:		0.	0.354 Error Rang		e: 0.369		Error Range:		RMSE:		0.0	158
5	kew:	0.	130 Skew:		0.219	Skew:		-0.444	ASPRS	Accuracy Clas	:s: 0.	.06
F	MSE:	0.	093 RMSE:		0.101	RMSE:		0.137	Min Co	ntour Intervat	0.	.18
ASPRS Accuracy Class: 0 ASPRS Acc			couracy Class:	0	ASPRS Accuracy Class: 0							
P	int Counts											
н	rizontal Me	asured:	29									
V	rtical Meas	ured:	29									
W	Withheld: 2 of 31								Gaus Disalai		Current Descent	
								`	new Discial		export neport	
	Halo									OK	Cance	al.
	nep									UK	Carice	54

Figure 5 - Control point comparison in LP360

The images taken by the eBee were processed into a detailed, georeferenced orthomosaic (Figure 10) and a 3D point cloud (Figure 11) in the Agisoft PhotoScan software. The checkpoints were used to verify the horizontal accuracy of the orthomosaic and the vertical accuracy of the point cloud using the control points comparison tool in LP360 (Figure 9).

By bringing the orthomosaic into LP360, the planimetric accuracy of previously derived features was identified. Coupled with the detailed orthomosaic, the point cloud introduced many new objects undetected in previous surveys. Using the classification and feature edit tools in LP360, various park resources such as earthworks, stone walls, and railroad beds can be extracted increasing efficiency in the cataloguing process.

Using sUAS systems proved to be an effective solution for an accurate aerial survey of the culturally significant area of Little Round Top. This system could be used in the future to map small strategic areas in other National Park System areas. A special thanks to Curt Musselman, Gettysburg National Military Park Cartographer, for his assistance on this project.





Figure 6 - Little Round Top Orthomosaic

GeoCue Group, Inc. AirGon LLC www.GeoCue.com





Figure 7 - 3D point cloud RGB

GeoCue Group, Inc. AirGon LLC www.GeoCue.com





Figure 12 - 3D point cloud RGB TIN surface in LP360 - stone wall

"The NPS was able to identify previously undocumented linear features. The results from the drone flights show that this method could be effective in other areas of the park." - Curt Musselman, Gettysburg Park Cartographer

#### References

Pfanz, Harry W. *Gettysburg – The Second Day*. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, 1987. <u>ISBN 0-8078-1749-X</u>.

Desjardin, Thomas A. *Stand Firm Ye Boys from Maine: The 20th Maine and the Gettysburg Campaign*. Gettysburg, PA: Thomas Publications, 1995. <u>ISBN 1-57747-034-6</u>.

Hall, Jeffrey C. *The Stand of the U.S. Army at Gettysburg*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 2003. <u>ISBN 0-253-34258-9</u>.